



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,867	01/24/2001	Vittorio Castelli	XXT-055A (D/99368)	3156
959 75	590 03/05/2003			
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD			EXAMINER	
28 STATE STREET			COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES	
BOSTON, MA 02109				
		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	10
		DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/768,867	CASTELLI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Dan Colilla	2854		
• The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	anuary 2003 .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 13-23</u> is/are pending in th	e application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 13-23</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ dis	capproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provi	isional application has bee	en received.		
Attachment(s)	priority under 33 U.S.C. 9	g 120 anu/01 121.		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		



Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 15, applicant states that the printing device includes a printing area located over the paper guide/biasing member position. It appears then, from applicant's Figure 1, that the biasing member would be between the printing device and paper guide. It is not clear how the printing device can create an image on the paper if the biasing member is located in this manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 14, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki.

With respect to claims 1, 18 and 21, Suzuki discloses an apparatus including a paper guide 3, a biasing member 21 biased against the paper guide 3 in which the edge of a printing



Art Unit: 2854

device 2 extends beyond the paper guide to a position upstream of the paper guide 3 (see Figure 1 of Suzuki).

With respect to claim 2, the paper guide 3 is a rotatably mounted cylinder and is perpendicular to the paper travel path.

With respect to claim 3, the biasing member 21 is a leaf spring.

With respect to claim 14, Suzuki discloses a printing device 2 positioned such that it is located over a position where the biasing member 21 and paper guide 3 meet as shown in Figure 1 of Suzuki.

5. Claims 1, 3-4 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Horne et al.

With respect to claim 1, In the embodiment shown in Figures 7A-7G, Van Horne et al. discloses a paper guide 132, a biasing member 138 biased against the paper guide 132, and a printhead extending past the guide member 132 in an upstream direction.

With respect to claim 3, Van Horne et al. discloses that the biasing member 138 can be considered a pinch spring.

With respect to claim 4, Van Horne et al. discloses a biasing member 138 with two ends, one of which is attached to the printer. Figures 7A-7C of Van Horne et al. show that the biasing member 138 is substantially aligned with the paper path.

With respect to claim 14, Van Horne et al. discloses a position where the paper guide 132 and biasing member 138 meet as shown in Figure 7C of Van Horne et al.



Art Unit: 2854

To the extent that claim 15 is understood, Van Horne et al. discloses printhead 156 positioned over the point where paper guide 132 and biasing member 138 meet as shown in Figure 7C.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13, 17, 19-20, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Smolenski.

With respect to claims 13, 19 and 22, Suzuki discloses the claimed method except for moving a printing device. Suzuki discloses a method including the steps of biasing a biasing member 21 against a paper guide 3 in which the edge of a printing device 2 extends beyond the paper guide to a position upstream of the paper guide 3 (see Figure 1 of Suzuki). Smolenski teaches a method of using a thermal printer for forming an image using a printhead 6. Figures 4-5 of Smolenski show that the printhead can be moved over the piece of paper. It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Smolenski with the method disclosed by Suzuki for the advantage of the floating print head which is correctly positioned for printing when the lid 3 of the printer is closed.

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 16, Suzuki discloses a printing device 2 positioned such that it is located over a position where the biasing member 21 and paper guide 3 meet as shown in Figure 1 of Suzuki.

With respect to claims 17, 20 and 23, Suzuki discloses the structure of the apparatus as mentioned above in the prior art rejection of claim 1 and further discloses a printing device 2 positioned such that it is located over a position where the biasing member 21 and paper guide 3 meet as shown in Figure 1 of Suzuki.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Osada et al. is cited to show another example of a printer with a paper guide and a biasing member.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner would like to apologize for the incompleteness of the previous Office action. It appears that claims 14-16 had not been addressed at all. Therefore, this Office action has been made non-final.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Colilla whose telephone number is (703) 308-2259. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30-5:30. Faxes regarding this application can be sent to (703) 746-4405.





Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached at (703)305-6619. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

March 3, 2003

Dan Colilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854 Page 6